

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,885	04/30/2001	Manuel Gonzalez	60006756-1 1164	
7590 07/17/2006 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			BRIER, JEFFERY A	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, (Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		2628	•
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,885	GONZALEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffery A. Brier	2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	av 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
/ _						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,11,13,15,16,24-29,32 and 34-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,11,13,15,16,24-29,32 and 34-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/3/2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments filed on 5/3/2006 have been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. The 5/3/2006 amendment to the claims overcomes the rejection based upon Oberg and Sakai.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 11 lines 1 and 3 steps 310-322, 330, and 332 are listed, however, the following description in the specification does not describe step 328, thus, step 328 should be added to the list of steps that "will not be set forth herein".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,885

Art Unit: 2628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1, 6-8, 11, 13, 15, 16, 24-29, 32, and 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 8, 11, 24, 35, 36, 39, and 40 were amended to make reference to wall dimensions and wall color of a mounting background. The originally filed specification did not correlate "mounting background" or "mounting surface" to a wall, wall dimensions and wall color. Mounting background, mounting surface, and wall as well as wall dimensions and wall color are described at different paragraphs of the specification. Mounting background is discussed at page 7 lines 12-14, page 9 line12 to page 10 line 2, and originally filed claims 4-7, 14, 15, 21, and 22. Mounting surface is discussed at page 6 lines 9-16, page 9 lines 1-11, page 11 lines 8-19, and page 12 lines 12-18. Wall size is discussed at page 10 lines 9-21. Wall and wall color is discussed at page 4 line 19 to page 5 line 5 and page 10 lines 9-21. Using a wall as a screen for the projector is discussed at page 8 lines 3-11 and originally filed claim 4. A mounting background, a mounting surface, and a wall are different things. Thus, the originally

filed specification did not correlate mounting background or mounting surface with a wall, wall dimensions and wall color. Accordingly the claims need to be amended to consistently use claim terminology when claiming applicants invention and to used terminology conveyed by the originally filed specification.

Claim 34:

This claim claims to the mounting background simulate a physical structure, which, was not described in the originally filed specification.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1, 6-8, 11, 13, 15, 16, 24-29, 32, and 34-40 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1:

At line 5 display of the image is claimed while at line 7 projecting the image is claimed. Since display and projecting are different it is not clear if the projecting of the wall color and wall dimensions and frame color or style are the same or different.

Claim 8:

This claim does not clearly claim the aesthetic engine program enables the terminal to provide decorator information. Currently the claim is claiming a program for providing decorator information.

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 11:

At lines 8-10 "to selected" is claimed and at lines 4-7 attributes are claimed but selection is not claimed. Since "to selected" refers to a step of selecting and this step is not present in the claim, then, "to selected" lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim 24:

Claims 24 and 34 contradict each other because the physical structure of claim 34 broadens the wall color and dimensions of claim 24. Claim 24 does not clearly claim to project via the means for projecting the wall color and wall dimensions of the mounting background due to the word "having".

Claim 36:

Claim 36 at lines 13-14 does not clearly claim projecting the wall color and wall dimensions of the mounting background and the style or color of the frame due to the word "having".

Claim 38:

Claim 38 at line 5 does not clearly claim projecting the matte color and the image of the matte due to the word "having".

Claim 39:

Claim 39 at lines 13-14 does not clearly claim projecting the wall color and wall dimensions of the mounting background and the style or color of the frame due to the word "having".

Application/Control Number: 09/843,885 Page 6

Art Unit: 2628

9. A prior art rejection cannot be made because the metes and bounds of the claims are not definite and because the specification does not support the claims. Thus, an indication of allowability would be premature. In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859,134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962) (it is improper to rely on speculative assumptions regarding the meaning of a claim and then base a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 on these assumptions).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A Brier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:00 to 3:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Jeffing a. Bries Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffery A Brier Primary Examiner Division 2628